

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/820,663	KRAMER, GLEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MAXWELL A. CLARK	2416	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MAXWELL A. CLARK. (3) ____.

(2) SHUN YAO. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 October 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,4-13,16-25 and 28-36.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: This action supersedes the action dated September 4, 2008, which contained errors regarding the allowed claims. The action dated September 4, 2008 indicated in the reasons for allowance that claims 1-13, 16-25 and 28-3 were allowed. The actual allowed claims are 1,4-13,16-25 and 28-36 because claim 2 and 3 are cancelled.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.